





催:国際日本文化研究センター

力: 「国際日本研究」 コンソーシアム

New Tasks? Policy Reform and Migrant Rights Activism in Japan

2025 7/7(MON)17:00~18:30

講演: 英語 討論: 日英両語 Presentation will be held in English.

Discussion will take place in both English and Japanese



PRESENTER YUNCHEN TIA

JF-Nichibunken Fellow, International Research Center for Japanese Studies 国際日本文化研究センター IF-日文研フェロー

DISCUSSANT FACUNDO GARASINO ファクンド・ガラシーノ

Lecturer, Global Japanese Studies Education and Research Incubator 大阪大学 グローバル日本学教育研究拠点 特任講師

> 大阪大学豊中キャンパス 金学教育維進機構 実験棟1F サイエンス・コモンズ DAICEL STUDIO

事前登録 **Hybrid Format**



How do civil society organizations adapt when the 'rules of the game' change? I highlight the effects of the 2017 reform of the TITP, which mandated the creation of "supervisory organizations" as legally distinct, non-profit intermediaries between migrants and employers, in addition to a new regulator, the Organization on Technical Intern Training (OTIT). I analyze published data on disciplinary actions by OTIT against "supervisory organizations" and employers from its 2017 establishment onwards and conduct supplementary interviews with community unions and other migrant support organizations in Gifu, Hiroshima, and Saga Prefectures engaged in TITP migrant advocacy to argue that the implementation of the OTIT framework altered the activities and roles of civil society groups. Highly limited in their fiscal and organizational resources, many community unions support their activities mediating labor disputes and sheltering migrants by charging a percentage of successful settlements and a per diem fee for room and board. As OTIT officially offers these services free of cost, its implementation directly affects the organizational model of community unions. Indeed, community unions have reported changes in the number of consultations and total migrants served, with the cases they do receive often being too complex or nuanced for OTIT and necessitating the networks and experience of more established actors. As a result, I highlight that community unions have been displaced from some adversarial roles such as directly representing TITP workers in arbitration into collaborative ones such as taking on complex and difficult cases, introducing workers to workplaces with better conditions, and exchanging information with government officials at regular hearings.